IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE AT KNOXVILLE

ANDREW McKEVITZ,)	
Plaintiff,		
V.) Case No	
SILVER CITY RESOURCES, INC.) JURY DEMAND	
Defendant.)	

COMPLAINT

COMES NOW, Andrew McKevitz, through counsel, to file this complaint, and states as follows:

Parties, Jurisdiction and Venue

- 1. Plaintiff Andrew McKevitz was at all times mentioned herein a citizen and resident of Maryville, Blount County, Tennessee.
- 2. Defendant Silver City Resources Inc. is a company incorporated in Nevada, with its principle place of business and headquarters at 2950 S. Rancho Drive, Suite 204, Las Vegas Nevada 89102. Its registered agent for service of process is Registered Agent: Terri L. Monteith, 6370 W. Flamingo Road, Suite 5M, Las Vegas, Nevada 89103. Silver City Resources Inc. is not registered to do business in the state of Tennessee.

- 3. This Court has jurisdiction over the action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 in that this actions arises under a United States federal statute, specifically the Telephone Consumer Protection Act, 47 U.S.C. § 227 et seq., ("TCPA"). The TCPA specifically authorizes this Court to exercise jurisdiction.
- 4. This Court has personal jurisdiction and venue over the Defendant because Defendant transacted business within this judicial district, having made contacts within this judicial district, and/or have committed tortious acts within this judicial district.

Facts

- 5. Plaintiff Andrew McKevitz ("McKevitz") brings this Complaint for damages relating to violations of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act, 47 U.S.C § 227 et seq., ("TCPA"), resulting from illegal actions of the Defendant, in negligently, and/or willfully contacting Plaintiff through "robocalls" (calls using an automatic telephone-dialing system without prior express consent) and/or other means.
- 6. Silver City Resources Inc. ("Silver City") engaged in telemarketing utilizing robocalls and other forms of telephone solicitation that violated Mr. McKevitz's privacy rights pursuant to the TCPA.

Violations of Telephone Consumer Protection Act based on Robocalls

- 7. Defendant deliberately decided to engage in bulk marketing by using an automatic telephone-dialing system to place robocalls to potential customers.
- 8. Unlike standard advertising methods, bulk advertising by use of robocalls cost recipients money, because cellular telephone users typically pay for their cell phone service.
- 9. Over the course of an extended period beginning no later than May of 2014, Defendant and its agents directed a mass transmission of robocalls to cell phones of persons they hoped were potential customers of Defendant' services.
- 10.On or about May 20, 2014, Mr. McKevitz received an unsolicited robocall from Defendant to his wireless telephone in Tennessee. Mr. McKevitz has previously registered his cellular telephone number ending in -5310 on the National Do-Not-Call List in order to eliminate telemarketing calls.
- 11.Mr. McKevitz received six (6) additional calls from Defendant and/or its agents on February 6, 2015, April 22, 2015, October 2, 2015, July 6, 2016, March 2, 2017 and October 2, 2017.
- 12.Plaintiff provided no consent to receive these calls, which were made by Defendant in an effort to promote its business.

- 13. The unsolicited phone calls were placed to Mr. McKevitz's cellular telephone via an "automatic telephone dialing system," ("ATDS") as defined by 47 U.S.C. § 227(a)(1).
- 14. "The term 'unsolicited advertisement' means any material advertising the commercial availability or quality of any property, goods, or services which is transmitted to any person without that person's prior express invitation or permission, in writing or otherwise." 47 U.S.C. § 227(a)(5).
 - 15. "The term 'telephone soliciation' means the initiation of a telephone call or message for the purpose of encouraging the or rental of, or investment in, property, goods, or services, which is transmitted to any person, but such term does not include a call or message (A) to any person with that person's prior express invitation or permission, (B) to any person with whom the caller has an established business relationship, or (C) by a tax exempt nonprofit organization." 47 U.S.C. § 227(a)(4).
- 16. The telephone numbers to which the Defendant, or its agents, placed the robocalls were assigned to cellular telephone services pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(A)(iii).
- 17.Mr. McKevitz did not provide the Defendant or its agents express consent to receive unsolicited robocalls pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(B).

- 18. The calls by Defendant or its agents therefore violated 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1).
- 19.Each such robocall was made using equipment that, upon information and belief, had the capacity to store or produce telephone numbers to be called, using a random or sequential number generator, or a system that otherwise qualified as an automatic telephone dialing system under the TCPA. By using such equipment, Defendant were able to effectively place thousands of robocalls to thousands of wireless phone numbers of consumers without human intervention. These calls were made without prior consent of Mr. McKevitz.
- 20. The foregoing acts and omissions of Defendant and its agents violated 47 U.S.C. § 227 et seq.
- 21.As a result of the violations of 47 U.S.C. § 227 et seq., Mr. McKevitz is entitled to an award of \$500.00 in statutory damages for each violation pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B).
- 22.Upon information and belief, Defendant' violations of TCPA were willful and/or knowing. Accordingly, should the Court find that the violations were willful rather than negligent, Mr. McKevitz is entitled to have the award increased to an amount not more than three (3) times the \$500.00

liquidated damages amount, or \$1,500.00 per violation, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B and C).

Violations of Telephone Consumer Protection Act based on Subscriber Privacy Rights

- 23.TCPA provides additional statutory damages based upon subscriber privacy rights pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(c).
- 24. During the early 1990's, Congress passed the TCPA that set up a national database to list telephone numbers that did not want to receive solicitation calls pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(c)(3). As discussed *supra*, Mr. McKevitz registered his cell number on the National DoNot-Call list years before he received the first solicitation call from the Defendant.
- 25.Defendant ignored the readily available National Do-Not-Call list and proceeded to solicit Mr. McKevitz on 7 separate occasions.
- 26. The recipient of a telemarketing call, regardless of the call being a robocall or placed an actual person, has a private right of action when more than one telephone solicitation occurs within any 12-month period pursuant to 47 U.S.C. 227(c)(5). This action is independent of the abovementioned auto-dialer and provides additional damages.

- 27.Here, the Defendant, or its agents, initiated telephone solicitation on 7 separate occasions from May 20, 2014 to October 2, 2017. The time between the numerous solicitations was less than 12 months. Therefore, Defendant violated the Act on 7 separate occasions.
- 28.A defense to this particular private right of action is for the telemarketer to establish and implement, "with due care, reasonable practices and procedures to effectively prevent telephone solicitations" pursuant to 47 U.S.C. 227(c)(5)(C). Typically, reasonable practices include the solicitor developing its own do-not-call list for the robocall equipment and the live callers.
- 29.Mr. McKevitz, personally and through counsel, asked the Defendant on several occasions, without success, to provide documentation of their telephone solicitation practices and procedures.
- 30. The damages are \$500.00 for each illegal call pursuant to 47 U.S.C. 227(c)(5)(B). The court may treble the damages up to \$1,500.00 for willful and knowing violations which, in light of the abovementioned, the Defendant are certainly performing willful and knowing violations of Mr. McKevitz's privacy rights. Therefore, the Court should treble the damages for each illegal solicitation pursuant to 47 U.S.C. 227(c)(5)(C).

PREMISES CONSIDERED, Plaintiff Andrew McKevitz demands this Honorable Court to award:

- 31.Damages of \$10,500.00 based upon use of an automatic telephone dialing system.
- 32.Damages of \$10,500.00 based upon violations of subscriber privacy rights.
- 33. Damages for all violations total \$21,000.00.
- 34.Pre-judgment and post-judgment interest.
- 35. Court costs and other litigation expenses.
- 36.Plaintiff request further and general relief in law and equity that the Court deems appropriate.

Respectfully submitted this 26th day of March, 2018.

Stephen H. Byrd (BPR #030014)

9051 Executive Park Drive, Suite 200

Knoxville, TN 37923

865-250-1968

BunkyByrd@gmail.com

Attorney for Plaintiff

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Eastern District of Tennessee Andrew McKevitz Plaintiff(s) Civil Action No. Silver City Resources, Inc. Defendant(s) SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION To: (Defendant's name and address) Terri L. Monteith, Registered Agent 6370 W. Flamingo Road, Suite 5M Las Vegas, Nevada 89103 A lawsuit has been filed against you. Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are: Stephen H. Byrd, Attorney at Law 9051 Executive Park Drive, Suite 200 Knoxville, Tennessee 37923 If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court. CLERK OF COURT Date: Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

Civil Action No.

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (1))

This summons for (n	name of individual and title, if any) Silv	ver City Resources, Inc.	
eceived by me on (date)			
☐ I personally serve	ed the summons on the individual	at (place)	
	9	on (date)	; or
☐ I left the summon	ns at the individual's residence or	usual place of abode with (name)	
	, a perso	on of suitable age and discretion who r	esides there,
on (date)	, and mailed a copy to	the individual's last known address; o	r
☐ I served the sum	mons on (name of individual)		, who is
designated by law t	o accept service of process on bel	nalf of (name of organization)	
		on (date)	; or
☐ I returned the sur	mmons unexecuted because		; or
☐ Other (specify):			
My fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of S	0.00
I declare under pena	alty of perjury that this informatio	n is true.	
		Server's signature	
		Stephen H. Byrd, Plaintiff's Attor	rney
	·	Printed name and title	
		9051 Executive Park Drive	
		Suite 200	:
		Knoxville, Tennessee 37923	3
	5 March 4 (1)	Server's address	

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

purpose of initiating the civil de	ocket sheet. (SEE INSTRUC	TIONS ON NEXT PAGE O	F THIS FO	PRM.)	, 1				R2 In
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS					
Andrew McKevitz			Silver City Resource	ces, Inc.					
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Blount (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Cooke County, NV (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.					
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name	Address and Telephone Numbe	r)		Attorneys (If Known)					
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) Stephen H. Byrd, 865-250-1968 9051 Executive Park Drive, Suite 200, Knox, TN 37923				Attorneys (If Known) James H. Snyder, 345 South Hall Roa Alcoa, Tennessee	ad	.966			
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	ICTION (Place an "X" in C	ne Box Only)		TIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPA	L PARTIES			
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	■ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government)	Not a Party)		(For Diversity Cases Only) PT en of This State	FF DEF	Incorporated or Pri of Business In T		PTF 4	DEF
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizensh.)	ip of Parties in Item III)	Citize	en of Another State	2 🗖 2	Incorporated and F of Business In A		5	ॐ 5
			100000000000000000000000000000000000000	en or Subject of a reign Country	3 🗇 3	Foreign Nation		□ 6	□ 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		nly) PRTS	Fr	DEELTHDE/BENALTV	The second secon	here for: Nature of	The state of the s	Action Company of the	The second second
□ 110 Insurance □ 120 Marine □ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment ∞ Enforcement of Judgment □ 151 Medicare Act □ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) □ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits □ 160 Stockholders' Suits □ 190 Other Contract □ 195 Contract Product Liability □ 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY □ 210 Land Condemnation □ 220 Foreclosure □ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment □ 240 Torts to Land □ 245 Tort Product Liability □ 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury 362 Personal Injury Medical Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS 440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other	PERSONAL INJUR BERSONAL INJUR BERSONAL INJUR BERSONAL INJUR Product Liability Product Liability Product Liability Product Liability Product Liability PERSONAL PROPER BERSONAL INJURY BERSONAL INJURY	O 69 O 69 O 70 O 71 O 72 O 75 O 75	CABOR LABOR O Fair Labor Standards Act Labor/Management Relations O Other Labor Act Family and Medical Leave Act O Other Labor Litigation Employee Retirement Income Security Act IMMIGRATION Naturalization Application Other Immigration Actions	422 Appe 423 With 28 U 423 With 28 U 424 With 28 U 425 With 28 U 425 With 28 U 425 With 28 U 425 With 28 With	RTY RIGHTS rights at at - Abbreviated Drug Application emark .SECURITY (1395ff) k Lung (923) C/DIWW (405(g))	480 Consum 490 Cable/S: 850 Securitie Exchang Exchang Representation 891 Agricult 893 Environn 895 Freedom Act 896 Arbitrati 899 Adminis Act/Revi	aims Act in (31 USC)) apportion t t nd Bankin rce tion er Influenc Organizat er Credit at TV ess/Commo ge tatutory A ural Acts mental Ma n of Inform ion strative Pr iew or Ap Decision tionality o	ment ng ced and tions dities/ ctions atters mation occdure
	moved from 3 ate Court	Appellate Court		pened Anothe (specify)	er District	☐ 6 Multidistr Litigation Transfer	-	Multidis Litigatio Direct F	on -
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	ON Brief description of ca			Do not cite jurisdictional state	tutes unless di	versity):			
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	Annual Control of the	IS A CLASS ACTION	N D	EMAND \$ 21,000.00		CHECK YES only URY DEMAND:		complai	
VIII. RELATED CASI	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE			DOCKE	ET NUMBER			
DATE 03/26/2018 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		SIGNATURE OF AT	TORNEY	RECORD 3					
	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE		MAG. JUE	OGE		